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Abstract
The present study deals with the Population dynamics of helminth Parasite Senga sp. in freshwater fish Mastacembelus armatus from Latur District (MS) India. The survey was conducted during, annual cycles 2011 to 2013 from different sampling station to estimate the Population dynamics. For this study 368 freshwater fish Mastacembelus armatus selected. Fish samples were collected from different localities of Latur District, Maharashtra State, namely Ausa, Nilanga, Ahemadpur, Deori, Jalkot, Renapur, Latur, Shirur-Anantpal, Chakur and Udgir.

The population dynamics shows the prevalence, mean intensity, abundance and dominance of the collected cestode Senga sp.
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Introduction
India is the mega biodiversity country in the world. Fish are the most important inhabitants of the aquatic ecosystem mainly marine and fresh water and provides the human population cheap and easily digestible proteins. In India it is estimated that about 10 million tons of fishes are required to meet the annual demand of fish proteins as compared to an actual annual production of only 3.5 million tons (Shukla and Upadhyay, 1998). The major component of fish is protein. Fish proteins have a high biological value. It also contains variable quantities of calcium, phosphate, fat and other nutrient important for human health and growth. Fish provides the world’s prime source of high quality protein, 14-16% of the animal protein consumed worldwide; over one billion people consume fish as their primary source of animal protein.

Recent studies indicate that of 750 species of freshwater fish species found in India, a large number of them are familiar only to the local population. Intestinal parasitic helminths have a serious impact on fish health, productivity, quality and quantity of meat. Fish parasitic populations are known to differ due to variation in the environment and host population (Dogial, 1961). Helminth parasites of fishes are commonly divided into three main groups; cestodes, nematodes and trematodes. Kennedy, (1975) stated that population investigation can provide date for the predication of integrated methods to achieve the regulation of numbers of harmful parasites, because it has been stated that a single method of control have little value, where as co-ordinated activities ameliorate the infection.

Material and Method
Examination of fish for collection of parasites:
Examination of intestinal parasites was carried out by using the method described by Hassan et al., (2010). After the separating and counting the population of different helminth parasites from
different freshwater fishes the parasites were preserved in separate bottles. Some of these were used for the taxonomic study.

**Statistical analysis employed for the population dynamics studies of helminth Parasites:**

The definitions and formulae of prevalence, mean intensity and relative density given by Margolis *et al.*, (1982) and Index of infection given by Tenoza and Zejda (1974)

**Result and Discussion**

**Infection of Senga sp. in Mastacembelus armatus during 2011-12:**

During observation of population dynamics of *Senga sp.* a total 182 fishes of *Mastacembelus armatus*, out of which 92 females and 90 males were examined. Among them 20 females and 13 males found infected, resulting in maximum 28.57 % prevalence of infection in males and 42.86 % prevalence of infection in females for year 2011-12 (Table 1).

**Incidence of Infection:**

The maximum prevalence (28.57) in male was recorded in the months of February, March, and May. Whereas minimum (0) in August and September. In rest of the months between (12.50) to (14.29). The maximum prevalence (42.86 and 37.5) in female was recorded in the months of April and February, May respectively. Whereas minimum (12.50) in June, July, August, October, in rest of months between (14.29) to (25.00). (Table 1 Graph 1)

**Intensity of infection**

The maximum mean intensity (4.00) in male was recorded in the months of April. Whereas minimum (1.67) in February, April. In rest of months between (2.00) to (3.00); (Table 1 and Figure 1). The maximum mean intensity (4.00) in female was recorded in the months of November. Whereas minimum (0) in August and September. In rest of months between (1.00) to (3.00)

**Density of infection**

The maximum relative density (0.57) in male was recorded in the months of March and May respectively. Whereas minimum (0) in August and September. In the rest of months between (0.13) to (0.50) (Table 17 and Figure 20). The maximum relative density (0.75 and 0.71) in female was recorded in the months of May and April respectively. Whereas minimum (0) in July and August. In the rest of months between (0.25) to (0.63).

**Infection of Senga sp. in Mastacembelus armatus during 2012-13:**

During observation of population dynamics of *Senga sp.* a total 186 fishes of *Mastacembelus armatus*, out of which 94 females and 92 males were examined. Among them 21 females and 13 males found infected, resulting in 37.50 % prevalence of infection in males and prevalence of infection in females for year 2012-13 (Table-2 and Graph 2).

**Incidence of Infection:**

The maximum prevalence (25.00) in male was recorded in the months of February, June, October, and January. Whereas minimum (0) in July, August. In rest of months between (12.50) to (14.29). The maximum prevalence (37.50) in female was recorded in the months of February. Whereas minimum (12.50) in May and June, In rest of the months between (14.29) to (25.00). (Table 2 and Graph 2)

**Intensity of infection**

The maximum mean intensity (9.00) in male was recorded in the months of March. Whereas minimum (0) in July, August, September. In the rest of the months between (1.00) to (8.00); (Table 18 Figure 22). The maximum mean intensity (8.0) in female was recorded in the months of May. Whereas minimumxc (1.50) in July. In rest of months between (2.00) to (6.00) (Table 2 and Graph 2)
Density of infection

The maximum relative density (1.29 and 1.00) in male was recorded in the months of March and February, April and May respectively. Whereas minimum (0) in July and August. In the rest of the months between (0.13) to (0.88); (Table 19 Graph 9b). The maximum relative density (1.25, 1.00 and 1.25) in female was recorded in the months of March and February, April and May respectively. Whereas minimum (0.13) in June, in rest of months between (0.29) to (0.86) (Table 2 and Graph 2).

Table 1: Monthly and gender wise prevalence, mean intensity and relative density of helminth parasites (February 2011 to January 2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month and Year</th>
<th>No. of fish Examined</th>
<th>No. of fish Infected</th>
<th>No. of Parasites collected</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Mean Intensity</th>
<th>Relative Density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M  F</td>
<td>M  F</td>
<td>M  F</td>
<td>M  F</td>
<td>M  F</td>
<td>M  F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 11</td>
<td>7  8</td>
<td>2  3</td>
<td>3  5</td>
<td>28.5 37.5</td>
<td>1.5 1.6</td>
<td>0.43 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 11</td>
<td>7  8</td>
<td>2  2</td>
<td>4  5</td>
<td>28.5 25.0</td>
<td>2.0 2.5</td>
<td>0.57 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 11</td>
<td>8  7</td>
<td>1  3</td>
<td>4  5</td>
<td>12.5 42.8</td>
<td>4.0 1.6</td>
<td>0.50 0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May. 11</td>
<td>7  8</td>
<td>2  3</td>
<td>4  6</td>
<td>28.5 37.5</td>
<td>2.0 2.0</td>
<td>0.57 0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun. 11</td>
<td>8  8</td>
<td>1  1</td>
<td>2  2</td>
<td>12.5 12.5</td>
<td>2.0 2.0</td>
<td>0.25 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul. 11</td>
<td>7  8</td>
<td>1  1</td>
<td>1  2</td>
<td>14.2 12.5</td>
<td>1.0 2.0</td>
<td>0.14 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 11</td>
<td>7  8</td>
<td>0  1</td>
<td>0  2</td>
<td>0.00 12.5</td>
<td>0.0 2.0</td>
<td>0.0 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep. 11</td>
<td>8  7</td>
<td>0  1</td>
<td>0  2</td>
<td>0.00 14.2</td>
<td>0.0 2.0</td>
<td>0.00 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 11</td>
<td>8  8</td>
<td>1  1</td>
<td>1  2</td>
<td>12.5 12.5</td>
<td>1.0 2.0</td>
<td>0.13 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 11</td>
<td>8  7</td>
<td>1  1</td>
<td>2  4</td>
<td>12.5 14.2</td>
<td>2.0 4.0</td>
<td>0.25 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 11</td>
<td>7  8</td>
<td>1  2</td>
<td>3  4</td>
<td>14.2 25.0</td>
<td>3.0 2.0</td>
<td>0.43 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 12</td>
<td>8  7</td>
<td>1  1</td>
<td>1  3</td>
<td>12.5 14.2</td>
<td>1.0 3.0</td>
<td>0.13 0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90 92</td>
<td>13 20</td>
<td>25 42</td>
<td>176.7 260.7</td>
<td>19.5 26.8</td>
<td>3.39 5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Monthly and gender wise prevalence, mean intensity and relative density of helminth parasites (February 2012 to January 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month and Year</th>
<th>No. of fish Examined</th>
<th>No. of fish Infected</th>
<th>No. of Parasites collected</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Mean Intensity</th>
<th>Relative Density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M  F</td>
<td>M  F</td>
<td>M  F</td>
<td>M  F</td>
<td>M  F</td>
<td>M  F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 12</td>
<td>8  8</td>
<td>2  3</td>
<td>7  8</td>
<td>25.0 37.5</td>
<td>3.5 2.6</td>
<td>0.88 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 12</td>
<td>7  8</td>
<td>1  2</td>
<td>9 10</td>
<td>14.2 25.0</td>
<td>9.0 5.0</td>
<td>1.29 1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 12</td>
<td>8  8</td>
<td>1  2</td>
<td>8  8</td>
<td>12.5 25.0</td>
<td>8.0 4.0</td>
<td>1.0 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May. 12</td>
<td>8  8</td>
<td>1  1</td>
<td>8  8</td>
<td>12.5 12.5</td>
<td>8.0 8.0</td>
<td>1.0 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun. 12</td>
<td>8  8</td>
<td>1  1</td>
<td>1  1</td>
<td>12.5 12.5</td>
<td>1.0 1.0</td>
<td>0.13 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul. 12</td>
<td>7  8</td>
<td>0  2</td>
<td>0  3</td>
<td>0.00 25.0</td>
<td>0.0 1.5</td>
<td>0.0 0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Graph 1: Showing monthly fluctuation of *Senga* sp. in the population of *Mastacembelus armatus* (February, 2011 to January, 2012).

Graph 2: Showing monthly fluctuation of *Senga* sp. in the population of *Mastacembelus armatus* (February 2012 to January 2013).
Discussion

During the course of taxonomical investigations on helminth parasites of economically important fish hosts available throughout the year were periodically observed made to evaluate population dynamics of these fish helminth parasites. A complete record of the basic data comprising the number of host specimens examined, number of host specimens infected and the number of parasites found was maintained for two annual cycles and is included in this work from February 2011 to January 2013.

Variation in parasite fauna with the diet of the host

Feeding activity of the host also is one of the reasons for the seasonal fluctuations of infections; the fishes were infected with large number of parasites in late winter to end of summer months, because the environmental conditions are favourable in such months. The waters are warm at that time the zooplankton fauna may be rich, this probably corresponds to the peak in the feeding activity of the fish together with the richness in the intermediate host fauna may be the crustaceans, smaller mollusks and fish resulting in high infections. The variation in prevalence and intensity may be due to host migration, change of feeding habits, availability of infective stages of parasites, and intermediate hosts (Bashirullah and Hafizuddin, 2007).

Effect of seasons on monthly fluctuation of helminth parasites

During present study more prevalence is found during summer season followed by winter and low in rainy season. Jadhav and Shinde (1976) explained the development of parasites should be needed high temperature, low rainfall and sufficient moisture. Hence, the high prevalence occurs in summer followed by other season.

Conclusion

The two year survey (2011 to 2013) has shown that fresh water fishes from the Latur district shows wide range of freshwater fishes. After the analysis of data the present study can be concluded that the high infection of helminth parasites (incidence, intensity, density and index of infection) were occurred in summer seasons followed by winter and low in monsoon season. This type of results indicated that environmental factors and feeding habitant are influencing the seasonally of parasitic infection either directly or indirectly. Observing the prevalence of Senga sp. in the target host fish (Mastacembelus armatus) in this study, shows that the intermediate in this case, copepods, are present in the habitat. This is due to the abundant vegetation which gives rise to a more extensive habitat for the copepods therefore; fish are more exposed to greater concentrations of Senga sp.

The helminth fauna of fish may depend on various environmental factors such as geographical location of the habitat, season of the year, physico-chemical characters of the water. The infection of helminth parasites may also be related to the availability of their intermediate host, life cycles of the parasites and feeding habits of the fish host. Individual parasite species may have widely differing effects on different host species.

It is indeed important to acquire knowledge on different fish pathogens, their biology and life cycle in order to recognize fish diseases and for their control. The results obtained from current research will give preliminary knowledge of population dynamics of parasitic fauna of fishes from Latur District, Maharashtra, India which was till date less explored. At the same time it will help the scientific community and also pisci- culturists to know about the parasite species found to be infected in different fish hosts.
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